Sheriff James G. (Jim) Murphy President Newport, Vermont

Charles B. Meeks Executive Director Alexandria, Virginia





Sheriff John T. Pierpont 1st Vice President Springfield, Missouri

Sheriff Don Hathaway 2nd Vice President Shreveport, Louisiana

Sheriff Fred Scoralick 3rd Vice President Poughkeepsie, New York

Sheriff Dan Smith 4th Vice President Belton, Texas

Sheriff Philip H. McKelvey 5th Vice President Cambridge, Maryland

Sheriff Jerry "Peanuts" Gaines 6th Vice President Bowling Green, Kentucky

Sheriff John Cary Bittick 7th Vice President Forsyth, Georgia

Sheriff Tommy Ferrell Sergeant-At-Arms Natchez, Mississippi

Sheriff Lyle W. Swenson Secretary Mitchell, South Dakota

Sheriff Bob E. Rice Treasurer Des Moines, Iowa

Sheriff Johnny Mack Brown Immediate Past President Greenville, South Carolina

Sheriff Frank Policaro, Jr. Immediate Past President Beaver, Pennsylvania

Richard M. Weintraub NSA General Counsel Washington, D.C.

Mary T. Ross NSA Corporate Representative Columbus, Ohio

NATIONAL SHERIFFS' ASSOCIATION

1450 DUKE STREET · ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314-3490 Telephone 703-836-7827 FAX 703-683-6541

August 15, 1994

The Honorable Reed E. Hundt Chairman Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Opposition to Billed Party Preference CC Docket No. 92-77

Dear Chairman Hundt:

The National Sheriffs' Association has over members nationwide. Our membership is comprised of public officials who are responsible for crime control and process prevention at the county level. Sheriffs of arrests thousands on any given day, and responsible for detaining in our jails thousands inmates nationwide.

We are writing to inform you that we are deeply troubled over the FCC's billed party preference (BPP) proposal. We believe that BPP would threaten our ability to provide telephone equipment specifically for inmate use.

A large number of our members' jail facilities are located in rural areas of the country. These facilities often fall within the service territory of small, independent telephone companies, as well as Bell systems that include rural areas. Many of our members can attest to the fact that the telephone companies servicing their facilities do not currently offer inmate phone equipment. That equipment is currently provided by the private inmate phone provider industry.

No. of Copies rec'd 0+9 List ABCDE

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Sheriff G.C. "Buck" Buchanan Prescott, Arizona

Sheriff John H. Butterworth

*Sheriff Charles Dean Inverness, Florida

Sheriff Larry Emison Jonesboro, Arkansas Sheriff Larry V. Erickson Spokane, Washington

Sheriff Charles A. Fuselier St. Martinville, Louisiana

Sheriff Wayne V. Gay Wilson, North Carolina

Sheriff Stanley Glanz Tulsa, Oklahoma *Sheriff Bill Hutson Marietta, Georgia

Sheriff Mearl J. Justus Belleville, Illinois

Sheriff Ted. G. Kamatchus Marshalltown, Iowa

Sheriff Aaron D. Kennard Salt Lake City, Utah Sheriff James Plousis
Cape May Court House, New Jersey

*Sheriff Charles S. Robinson Hartsville, Tennessee

Sheriff C. David Stone Pickens, South Carolina

Sheriff Patrick J. Sullivan, Jr. Littleton, Colorado *Sheriff Vince Swinney Reno, Nevada

Sheriff Lee Vasquez McMinnville, Oregon

McMinnville, Oregon

*Sheriff Carl Wells Bedford, Virginia Ex-Officio Members All Past Presidents

Goshen, Indiana

Sheriff Kenneth G. Wilkinson *M Anoka, Minnesota Ex

*Member of the

Sheriff Randall D. Yohn



Chairman Hundt Page 2

It should be no secret that BPP will destroy the private inmate phone provider industry. Our sheriffs could not afford to purchase this equipment out of their general budgets. Why should taxpayers fund inmate telephone systems?

We think the adverse impact that BPP will have on inmate calling is clear. Our sheriffs will be forced to control inmate calling through the methods we used before private competition (i.e. by strictly limiting calling times and by requiring continuous supervision of inmate calls by a deputy.

We believe the majority of sheriffs are sensitive to the rates families pay for inmate calls. If the FCC is concerned that there are certain providers that are nevertheless charging unreasonable rates, the FCC should use its enforcement powers to directly regulate the rates of those providers. Firm rate guidance by the FCC would provide a legal benchmark for sheriffs to use in enforcing rate compliance.

The National Sheriffs' Association endorses fair and reasonable rates for inmate calls and would expect all sheriffs to require service providers to adhere to FCC rate guidelines. It would be entirely counterproductive, however, to attempt to regulate inmate calling rates through a proposal that will ultimately reduce inmate calling opportunities. On behalf of our membership, therefore, we must oppose BPP.

Sincerely,

Charles "Bud" Meeks Executive Director

CHARLES "BUD" MEEKS
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR